# COUNTY OF TRINITY SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2011

### Single Audit Report For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1-2
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6-10
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11-13
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	14-30
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	31
California Emergency Management Agency Supplementary Schedule	32-33



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Supervisors County of Trinity Weaverville, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Trinity, California (County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the County's financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 9, 2012. Our report includes a reference to other auditors. The report on business-type activities and Waterworks District No. 1 was qualified because the capital assets of the District were not audited. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Trinity County Waterworks District No. 1 as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the auditors' testing of internal control over financing reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

Board of Supervisors County of Trinity

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 11-FS-1 to be a material weakness.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the County of Trinity in a separate letter dated March 9, 2012.

The County's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's responses and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

This report is intended solely for the information of the County management, the Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Roseville, California March 9, 2012

Gallina LLP



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Supervisors County of Trinity Weaverville, California

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Trinity (County), with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

As described in item 11-SA-2 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the County did not comply with requirements regarding eligibility tests that are applicable to its Medical Assistance Program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

#### Board of Supervisors County of Trinity

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011.

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 11-SA-3 and 11-SA-4 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 11-SA-1 and 11-SA-2 to be significant deficiencies.

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

#### Board of Supervisors County of Trinity

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, and business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated March 9, 2012, which contained a qualified opinion on those financial statements. The report on business-type activities and Waterworks District No. 1 (District) was qualified because the capital assets of the District were not audited. We did not audit the financial statements of the Trinity County Waterworks District No. 1. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors, whose reports there on has been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as they related to the amounts included for the District is based solely on the reports of the other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The Supplementary Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency has not been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information of the County management, the Board of Supervisors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Roseville, California March 9, 2012

Gallina LLP

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Direct Program:			
Wetland Reserve Program	10.072		\$ 5,225
Passed through State Department of Social Services:			
State Administrative Matching Grants for the			
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561		275,587
ARRA - State Administrative Matching Grants for the			
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561		6,794
Subtotal CFDA Number 10.561			282,381
Passed through State Department of Health Care Services:			
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for			
Women, Infants, and Children	10.557		322,434
Passed through State Controller's Office:			
Schools and Roads – Grants to States	10.665		2,477,935
Schools and Roads – Grants to States	10.665		6,578
Schools and Roads – Grants to Counties	10.666		294,406
Subtotal - Schools and Roads Cluster			2,778,919
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 3,388,959
U.S. Department of Commerce			
Direct Program:			
Public Health Emergency Response	11.206		57,031
ARRA - Habitat Conservation (NOAA)	11.463		11,250
Total U.S. Department of Commerce			\$ 68,281
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
Passed through State Department of Housing and Urban Development:			
Community Development Block Grant/State's Program	14.228	08-EDEF-5789	143,690
FY 07 Home Investment Partnership Program	14.239	07-HOME-3076	87,766
FY 09 Home Investment Partnership Program	14.239	09-HOME-6201	560,056
Subtotal CFDA Number 14.239	. == 3		647,822
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			\$ 791,512

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures
U.S. Department of the Interior			
Direct Programs:			
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226		\$ 508,790
Hydrology Grant	15.512		20,750
ARRA - Klamath River Watershed Restoration Program	15.517		6,818
Trinity River Project - Fish & Wildlife Management	15.532		15,119
Partners for Fish & Wildlife Program	15.631		3,387
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			\$ 554,864
U.S. Department of Justice			
Direct Program:			
COPS Hiring Program	16.710	2010umwx0043	41,512
Passed through State of California Emergency Management Agency:			
Victim Witness Program	16.575	VW10150530	34,152
ARRA - Violence Against Women Formula Grants -			
Vertical Prosecution Recovery Act	16.588	VP09010530	64,290
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program -			
Trinity County Drug ADA Task Force	16.738	DC10210530	126,636
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program -			
Marijuana Suppression Grant	16.738	MS1001530	212,220
Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance			
Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government -			
Evidence-based Probation Supervision Program	16.804	ZP09010530	16,075
Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance			
Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government -			
Victim Witness Program	16.804	ZA090105300	200,576
Subtotal Pass-Through			653,949
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 695,461
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Direct Program:			
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	06-0260-007	1,488
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	06-0273-08	3,474
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	06-0273-10	10,493
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	06-0102-07	92,079
Subtotal Direct Program			107,534

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Disbursements/ Expenditures	/
<u>U.S. Department of Transportation</u> (continued)				
Passed through State Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5905(063)	\$ 116,497	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLS-5905(071)	81,598	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5905(082)	672	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLS-5905(074)	802	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRLO-5905(075)	406	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRSESPL-5905(079)	215,725	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	SPOA-5905(086)	110,869	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRSESPL-5905(038)	103,771	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205		40,122	
ARRA-Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRSESPL-5905(079)	55,339	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5905(068)	10,659	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5905(072)	43,328	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	RPSTPLE-5905(073)	36,641	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HSIPL-5905(078)	414	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HSIPL-5905(088)	1,306	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	HSIPL-5905(088)	6,156	
Highway Planning and Construction	20.505		9,301	
Subtotal CFDA Number 20.205			833,606	_
Federal Transit Capital Investment Grants	20.509		182,287	
Hazardous Material Emergency Preparedness HMEP	20.703		11,366	
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 1,134,793	_
U.S. Department of Education				
Passed through State Office of Education				
Special Education Grants (IDEA)	84.027A		32,881	_
Total U.S. Department of Education			\$ 32,881	_
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  Passed through State Department of Social Services				
Passed through State Department of Social Services:	02 550		1 015 524	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558		1,215,534	
ARRA - Emergency Contingency Fund for Temporary	02.714		25 222	
Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) State Program	93.714		25,232	_
Subtotal TANF Cluster			1,240,766	_
Foster Care – Title IV-E	93.658		1,507,534	
<b>ARRA</b> - Foster Care – Title IV-E	93.658		17,515	_
Subtotal CFDA Number 93.658			1,525,049	_

	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Grantor's	Disbursements/
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Expenditures
<u>U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</u> (continued) Passed through State Department of Social Services (continued):			
Adoption Assistance Program	93.659		\$ 269,406
ARRA - Adoption Assistance Program	93.659		21,939
Subtotal CFDA Number 93.659			291,345
Family Preservation and Support Services	93.556		10,306
Community Based Child Abuse Prevention	93.590		31,159
Adoption Incentive Payment	93.603		6,341
Child Welfare Services – State Grants	93.645		14,654
In Home Supportive Services	93.667		84,973
Independent Living	93.674		21,843
Subtotal			169,276
Subtotal Pass-Through			3,226,436
Passed through State Department of Child Support Services:			
Child Support Enforcement	93.563		423,578
ARRA - Child Support Enforcement	93.563		17,511
Subtotal CFDA Number 93.563			441,089
Passed through State Department of Health Care Services:			
PSSF (Meth) Grant	93.087		62,639
Immunization Recovery	93.268		20,519
Children's Medical Services	93.767		4,882
Medical Assistance Programs	93.778		71,184
Medical Assistance Programs	93.778		231,006
Medical Assistance Programs Foster Care	93.778		14,924
Maternal and Child Health Services	93.994		63,000
Subtotal Pass-Through			468,154
Passed through State Department of Public Health:			
Public Health Emergency Preparedness Program	93.069		102,650
Passed through State Department of Mental Health:			
Assistance in Transitioning from Homelessness	93.150		10,000
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services			
Administration (SAMSHA)	93.958		85,506
Medical Assistance Programs	93.778		1,145,278
Subtotal Pass-Through			1,240,784

	Federal	Pass-Through	
	CFDA	Grantor's	Disbursements/
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (continued)			
Passed through State Department of Alcohol and Drug Abuse			
Programs:			
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance			
Abuse (SAPT)	93.959		\$ 392,489
110000 (01111)	, , , , ,		<del>Ф</del> 27 <b>2</b> , 107
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			5,871,602
•			
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through State Department of Homeland Security:			
FY 08 Homeland Security Grant Program - HSGP	97.073	2008-0006	11,888
FY 09 Homeland Security Grant Program - HSGP	97.073	2009-0019	14,796
FY 10 Homeland Security Grant Program - HSGP	97.073	2010-0085	20,272
FY 07 Homeland Security Grant Program - TENS	97.073	2007-GE-T7-0008	11,138
Subtotal CFDA Number 97.073			58,094
Passed through State of California Emergency Management Agency:	07.040	2000 0015	2.000
Emergency Management Planning Grant FY09	97.042	2009-0015	3,000
Emergency Management Planning Grant FY10	97.042	2010-0044	124,513
Subtotal CFDA Number 97.042			127,513
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$ 185,607
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 12,723,960

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Note 1: **General**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the County of Trinity. The County of Trinity reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included in the schedule.

#### Note 2: **Basis of Accounting**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Entity and is presented on generally accepted accounting principles. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

#### Note 3: Relationship to Financial Statements

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree, in all material respects, to amounts reported within the County's financial statements. Federal award revenues are reported principally in the County's financial statements as intergovernmental revenues in the General and Special Revenue funds.

#### Note 4: Pass-Through Entities' Identifying Number

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity; the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the County was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

#### Note 5: **Subrecipients**

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the County of Trinity provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Federal CFDA	Program Title	Pr	Amount rovided to precipients
14.228	Community Development Block Grant/State's Program	\$	118,023

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Note 6: **Program Clusters**

Federal programs, which must be audited as a program cluster, include the following:

Federal CFDA	Program Title	Federal Expenditures
Schools	and Roads Cluster:	
10.665 10.665 10.666	Schools and Roads – Grants to States Schools and Roads – Grants to States Schools and Roads – Grants to Counties	\$ 2,477,935 6,578 294,406
	Total	<u>\$ 2,778,919</u>
JAG Pro	ogram Cluster:	
16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program – Marijuana Suppression Grant	\$ 122,626
16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program – Trinity County Drug ADA Task Force	126,636
16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program – Marijuana Suppression Grant	89,594
16.804	Recovery Act – Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government – Evidence-based Probation Supervision Program	16,075
16.804	Recovery Act – Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government – Victim Witness Program	200,576
	Total	\$ 555,507
	Total	<u>ф 333,301</u>
<u>Tempor</u>	ary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cluster:	
93.558 93.714	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ARRA – Emergency Contingency Fund for Temporary	\$ 1,215534
	Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) State Program	25,232
	Total	\$ 1,240,766

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Note 7: Total Federal awards expended by CFDA Number

When there is more than one program under a single CFDA number, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards totals all programs under the one CFDA number. Occasionally, however, this total could not be conveniently displayed because all programs under one CFDA number were not contiguous. When this occurred, this total is not shown in the Schedule, but instead is provided below:

CFDA No.	Total Federal Expenditures
10.665	\$ 2,484,513
16.738	338,856
16.804	216,651
93.778	1,462,392

#### Note 8: Loans with Continuing Compliance Requirements

The following schedule presents the amount of outstanding loans by CFDA No. that have continuing compliance requirements. The County's loans are administered by the Trinity County Grants Department. All loans are provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and flow through the State Department of Housing and Urban Development:

			Prior Year Loans	
			with Continuing	
CFDA		Outstanding	Compliance	
No.	Federal Program	Loans	Requirements	<b>New Loans</b>
14.228	Community Development Block Grant/States Progam	\$ 1,742,913	\$ 1,742,913	\$ -
14.239	Home Investment Partnership Program	2.852.859	2,322,043	530.816

#### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Section 1	Summary of Auditor's Results
Financial Statements	
1. Type of auditor's report issued:	Unqualified
<ul><li>2. Internal control over financial reporting:</li><li>a. Material weaknesses identified?</li><li>b. Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses</li></ul>	Yes None Reported
3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No
Federal Awards	
<ol> <li>Internal control over major programs:         <ul> <li>Material weaknesses identified?</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes Yes
2. Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	
Adoption Assistance Program Medical Assistance Program All Other Major Programs	Qualified Qualified Unqualified
3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Circular OMB A-133, Section 510(a)?	Yes
4. Identification of major programs:	
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program
10.665, 10.666 14.228	Schools and Roads Cluster Community Development Block Grant/State's Program
14.239	HOME Investment Partnerships Program
15.226	Payment In Lieu of Taxes

JAG Program Cluster

16.738, 16.804

#### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Section 1 (continued)

#### Federal Awards (continued)

4. Identification of major programs: (continued)

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program
93.558, 93.714	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster
93.658	Foster Care – Title IV-E
93.659	Adoption Assistance Program
93.778	Medical Assistance Program
5. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between	
Type A and Type B programs:	\$ 519,592
6. Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under	
OMB Circular A-133, Section 530?	No
Section 2	
Financial Statement Findings	
Construction in Progress	Finding 11-FS-1
Section 3	
Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	
CFDA Number 93.658	Finding 11-SA-1
CFDA Number 93.658	Finding 11-SA-2
CFDA Number 93.659	Finding 11-SA-4
CFDA Number 93.778	Finding 11-SA-4

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Financial Statement Finding For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-FS-1

Construction in Progress

#### Criteria

Expenditures incurred to construct a capital asset are accumulated as construction in progress until the project is completed. Upon completion of the project, the total project expenditures are transferred from construction in progress to the appropriate capital asset category such as buildings or infrastructure and the asset begins depreciating.

#### Condition

The County had incurred a significant amount of expenditures on road construction over the past few years and had not been recording these amounts as construction in progress. Instead, the County waited until the project was complete before it was added to infrastructure.

#### Cause

County personnel were not aware that these expenditures should be recorded as construction in progress and then transferred to infrastructure once the project had been completed.

#### Effective of the Condition

By not recording current year expenditures as construction in progress, assets were understated and expenditures were overstated.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the County's Department of Transportation provide a list of all open projects as well as projects completed during the year to the Auditor's Office at year-end. The Auditor's Office should then use this information to record the current year additions to construction in progress and the current year transfers from construction in progress to infrastructure.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Financial Statement Finding For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Program	Findings/Noncompliance
Finding 11-FS-1 (continued)	Management Response
	We concur with the finding and will work together with the Auditor's office to provide the recommended documentation in order to accurately record the construction in progress.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

$\mathbf{r}$				
$\mathbf{P}$	ra	gr	21	m
	11)	21	a	ш

#### Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-SA-1

Federal Grantor: *U.S. Department of Health and Human* 

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658 Pass-Through Entity: State Department of Social Services

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

#### Criteria

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

A child must meet the eligibility requirements of the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program that is, meeting the State established standard of need as of July 16, 1996, prior to enactment of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity

ARRA -Reconciliation Act. (42 USC 672(a)).

and

Foster Care Title IV-E

CFDA 93.658

Condition

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

Of the 40 case files selected for eligibility testing, we noted two case files where the Department failed to receive and review the required documentation to support AFDC eligibility needed prior to placing the children in the foster family homes.

#### **Questioned Costs**

No costs are questioned.

#### Perspective

We selected 40 case files out of a population of 432. Of the 40 cases we examined, we noted two case files where the Department neglected to review satisfactory AFDC eligibility requirements for children living in foster family homes prior to placing the children in these foster family homes. The error rate for the sample was 2/40 =5.00%.

#### Cause

At the time, the County was not using a checklist to ensure that all requirements for federal eligibility were being met.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

P	r	n	g	ra	ın	n

#### Findings/Noncompliance

## Finding 11-SA-1 (continued)

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

and

ARRA – Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

#### Effect of the Condition

Without obtaining and reviewing required documentation, the Department could be placing children in foster family homes or child-care institutions and using federal funds when all eligibility requirements for federal funds have not been satisfied.

#### Recommendation

We recommend the Department review the requirements for properly obtaining and executing AFDC eligibility requirements for all children in foster family homes. We further recommend the Department review its internal controls for Department employees to track and review eligibility records to ensure that all children living in foster family homes have satisfactorily met AFDC eligibility requirements prior to placing the children in foster family homes.

#### Corrective Action Plan

Although I cannot conclusively determine why this one particular case seemed to fall through the cracks back then, I can say that the county has since developed checklists to ensure that all requirements for federal eligibility (for both the initial applications and annual redeterminations) are reviewed according to regulations. At this time, the eligibility supervisor is still responsible for establishing and maintaining the foster care cases and utilizes these checklists as a control to ensure all required forms and documents are obtained prior to the authorization of federal funding. Furthermore, a thorough explanation of the federal determination for EVERY case is documented within the case parrative.

The County does intend to shift responsibility of establishment & maintenance of the foster care cases from the Supervisor to a Lead Worker once proper training can be provided. Once this shift of responsibility has been implemented, the supervisor will establish a protocol to review a random sampling of cases on a monthly basis. The random sample will include cases established within the month of sample in addition to cases that are due for an annual redetermination.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Program	Findings/Noncompliance
Finding 11-SA-1 (continued)	Corrective Action Plan (continued)
Foster Care Title IV-E	We will utilize the functionality of the C-IV system to select these cases for review.
CFDA 93.658	The contact persons and their telephone number for this corrective action are listed below:
and	
	Linda Wright, Director
ARRA –	Morgan Talkington, Eligibility Supervisor
Foster Care	Trinity County Health and Human Services
Title IV-E	(530) 623-1265
CFDA 93.658	

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

#### Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-SA-2

Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658 Pass-Through Entity: State Department of Social Services

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011 Criteria

and

Foster Care maintenance payments are allowable only if the foster child was removed from the home of a relative specified in section 406(a) of the Social Security Act, as in effect on July 16, 1996, and placed in foster care by means of a judicial determination, as defined in 42 USC 672(a)(2), or pursuant to a voluntary placement agreement, as defined in 42 USC 672(f), (42 USC 672(a)(1) and (2) and 45 CFR section 1356.21).

ARRA – Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

Reasonable efforts to prevent removal determination — Within 60 days from the date of the removal from home pursuant to 45 CFR section 1356.21(k)(ii), there must be a judicial determination as to whether reasonable efforts were made or were not required to prevent the removal (e.g., child subjected to aggravated circumstances such as abandonment, torture, chronic abuse, sexual abuse, parent convicted of murder or voluntary manslaughter or aiding or abetting in such activities) (45 CFR sections 1356.21(b)(1) and (k)). The judicial determination must be explicitly documented, i.e., so stated in the court order and made on a case by case basis.

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

#### Condition

Of the 40 case files selected for eligibility testing, we noted 3 case files where the Department failed to acquire the mandated judicial determinations to support removing the children from their homes.

#### **Questioned Costs**

No costs are questioned.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

#### Findings/Noncompliance

## Finding 11-SA-2

(continued)

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

and

ARRA – Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

#### **Perspective**

We selected 40 case files out of a population of 432. Of the 40 cases we examined, we noted three case files where the Department neglected acquire the mandated judicial determinations to support removing the children from their homes. The error rate for the sample was 3/40 = 7.50%.

#### Cause

All three cases noted in this finding were processed by a Lead Worker who had minimal knowledge of the foster care program requirements at the time these cases were established. The former supervisor who had been establishing and maintaining the foster care cases went out on an unexpected disability leave and was the only individual in the eligibility department who had sufficient knowledge of the program requirements. Because foster care payments must be issued, a Lead Worker was assigned the task of processing these cases with little to no knowledge and had received very minimal training in order to accomplish this task. This worker was overwhelmed by this task due to his lack of knowledge and had overlooked checking the court orders to ensure the proper findings had been made. Supervision was also a factor as the supervisor who replaced the former had no knowledge of the foster care program requirements and could not provide oversight to the Lead Worker.

#### Effect of the Condition

Without obtaining and reviewing required documentation, the Department could be removing children from their homes without sufficient cause and using federal funds when all eligibility requirements for federal funds have not been satisfied.

#### Recommendation

We recommend the Department review the requirements for properly obtaining and executing AFDC eligibility requirements for all children in foster family homes. We further recommend the Department review its internal controls for Department employees to track and review eligibility records to ensure that all children living in

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

т					
и	'n	$\sim$	gr	o	m
1	1	v	21	а	ш

#### Findings/Noncompliance

### Finding 11-SA-2

(continued)

Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

and

ARRA – Foster Care Title IV-E CFDA 93.658

#### Recommendation (continued)

foster family homes have satisfactorily met AFDC eligibility requirements prior to placing the children in foster family homes.

#### Corrective Action Plan

It was during this time that the county developed and utilized a checklist for recording what documentation was/was not received from CWS in addition to reviewing the required findings on the court order. Both the Foster Care Eligibility Worker and the designated social worker from CWS have to initial the checklist to confirm that all required documentation was provided at the time of the exchange.

For internal controls in Eligibility, we have developed and used a checklist prior to the authorization of federal eligibility which includes reviewing the court order to ensure all required findings were made.

Since the use of these checklists was implemented, we have not authorized federal funding for a case without all required documentation and/or court order findings.

At this time the Eligibility Supervisor is establishing and maintaining the foster care cases. Oversight is currently provided by the Administrate Office of the Courts who conducts a biannual audit of the foster care case records to ensure that appropriate findings are made on the court orders.

The County does intend to shift responsibility of establishment & maintenance of the foster care cases from the Supervisor to a Lead Worker once proper training can be provided. Once this shift of responsibility has been implemented, the supervisor will establish a protocol to review a random sampling of cases on a monthly basis. The random sample will include cases established within the month of sample in addition to cases that are due for an annual redetermination.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Program	Findings/Noncompliance					
Finding 11-SA-2 (continued)	Corrective Action Plan (continued)					
Foster Care Title IV-E	We will utilize the functionality of the C-IV system to select these cases for review.					
CFDA 93.658	The contact persons and their telephone number for this corrective action are listed below:					
and						
	Linda Wright, Director					
ARRA –	Morgan Talkington, Eligibility Supervisor					
Foster Care	Trinity County Health and Human Services					
Title IV-E	(530) 623-1265					
CFDA 93.658						

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

#### Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-SA-3

Federal Grantor: *U.S. Department of Health and Human* 

Adoption Assistance Program

Pass-Through Entity: State Department of Social Services

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

CFDA 93.659

Criteria

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

and

ARRA – Adoption Assistance **Program** 

CFDA 93.659

Federal Adoption Assistance cannot be paid unless federal eligibility is present. Generally, for federal eligibility, a child must be within specified income categories, be a child with certain "special needs," be unable to be adopted without Adoption Assistance, and have the adoption assistance agreement in place before the adoption decree is entered. Title 22 California Code of Regulations §35331(a) requires that the determination of the child's eligibility for adoption assistance be documented in the case record on the Eligibility Certification -Adoption Assistance Program form (the AAP-4). Previous to the use of the AAP-4, a form FC 9 was used to document a child's eligibility for Adoption Assistance.

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

#### Condition

Of the 40 case files selected for eligibility testing, we noted 15 case files where the Department failed to have the authorized official from the County Welfare Department sign and date the AAP-4. They also failed to complete the certification to determine federal eligibility by checking the appropriate box below the signature line. Our sample contained only federally-funded Adoption Assistance cases.

#### **Questioned Costs**

No costs are questioned. All of these cases were eligible for federal Adoption Assistance. The problem was that their eligibility was not properly documented, as required, on the AAP-4.

#### Perspective

We selected 40 case files out of a population of 566. Of the 40 cases we examined, we noted 15 case files where the Department neglected to complete and properly execute the AAP-4. The error rate for the sample was 15 / 40 = 37.50%.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

т					
и	'n	$\sim$	gr	o	m
1	1	v	21	а	ш

#### Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-SA-3

(continued)

Adoption Assistance Program CFDA 93.659

and

ARRA – Adoption Assistance Program CFDA 93.659

#### <u>Cause</u>

The County did not have proper oversight to ensure that the forms were being properly reviewed and signed.

#### Effect of the Condition

The effect is Federal Adoption Assistance benefits might be paid on behalf of a child when a child is only eligible for state-funded Adoption Assistance.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the Department instruct its eligibility staff to make sure a properly-executed AAP-4 is contained in the case file before approving federal Adoption Assistance. When any case is identified which does not have a properly-executed AAP-4, we recommend that a supervisor review the case file to make sure that the aid code for the case is correct.

#### Corrective Action Plan

Once the transfer of responsibility for processing the AAP cases changed to me, I developed an internal checklist to ensure that all required forms were received from the state and subsequently reviewed and certified by the appropriate county worker. Since this checklist was developed, all AAP 4 forms have been reviewed, signed, and eligibility for federal and/or state funding certified on the form.

As of January 2012, responsibility for the establishment and maintenance of the AAP cases has transferred from the supervisor to a Lead Worker who has been instructed to utilize the checklist when processing AAP cases. In an effort to provide oversight and review, I will establish a protocol to review a random sampling of cases on a monthly basis. The random sample will include cases established within the month. We will utilize the functionality of the C-IV system to select these cases for review.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

Program	Findings/Noncompliance
Finding 11-SA-3 (continued)	Corrective Action Plan (continued)
Adoption	The contact persons and their telephone number for this corrective action are listed below:
Assistance	Linda Weight Director
Program CFDA 93.659	Linda Wright, Director Morgan Talkington, Eligibility Supervisor
and	Trinity County Health and Human Services (530) 623-1265
ARRA –	
Adoption	
Assistance	
Program	

CFDA 93.659

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

#### Findings/Noncompliance

#### Finding 11-SA-4

Federal Grantor:

Pass-Through Entity:

U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Medical Assistance State Departments of Health Care

Program

Services and Mental Health

CFDA 93.778

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

Award No. N/A Year: 2010/2011

Every 12 months the County must redetermine the eligibility of Medi-Cal recipients with respect to circumstances that may change (e.g., income eligibility). The County must have procedures designed to ensure that recipients make timely and accurate reports of any changes in circumstances that may affect their eligibility. The County must promptly reassess eligibility when it receives information about changes in a recipient's circumstances that may affect his or her eligibility (42 CFR 435.916).

#### Condition

Criteria

Of the 40 case files selected for eligibility testing, we noted 11 case files where the Department did not perform required annual redeterminations.

#### **Questioned Costs**

No costs are questioned. All of these cases were eligible for federal assistance under this program. The problem was that their eligibility was not properly documented, as required.

#### **Perspective**

We selected 40 case files out of a population of 19,564. Of the 40 cases we examined, we noted 11 case files where the Department neglected to perform annual determinations. The error rate for the sample was 11/40 = 27.50%.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

#### Findings/Noncompliance

# Finding 11-SA-4 (continued)

Medical Assistance Program CFDA 93,778

#### Cause

Factors contributing to redeterminations being done untimely, or not at all, were in large part due to position vacancies for extended periods of time. When there is one vacant position, caseloads are disbursed to remaining staff and the size of the re-disbursed caseloads is unmanageable. During these times staff has to re-prioritize eligibility functions to ensure that benefits, such as cash aid and food stamps, are calculated and issued correctly and changes processed promptly. Additional factors include time spent working on a backlog in other areas that are out of compliance, such as IEVS reports & pending applications which was a direct result of having little to no supervision for an extended period of time.

#### Effect of the Condition

Individuals who are no longer eligible for Medi-Cal Assistance might continue receiving this assistance if redeterminations are not conducted in a timely manner.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that a review process be implemented to ensure that the required annual redeterminations for the Medi-Cal Assistance Program are conducted.

#### Corrective Action Plan

Although we currently have one vacancy (which we are in the process of filling), we have approached the task of caseload coverage differently than we had in the past. In an effort to circumvent a huge increase of work to specific workers. We are taking a "team based" approach to caseload coverage whereas all remaining team members (including the supervisor) have a specifically assigned eligibility function, medi-cal redeterminations being one of them. This will ensure that the increase of workload has a much more minimal impact to remaining staff so they can still accomplish their own work in a timely manner.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

**Program** 

Findings/Noncompliance

Finding 11-SA-4 (continued)

Medical Assistance Program CFDA 93.778

#### Corrective Action Plan (continued)

As a supervisor, I will utilize the reports within the C-IV system to identify which eligibility workers have current and overdue medi-cal redeterminations on a monthly basis. Once identified, I will meet with the worker to discuss an action plan to process the overdue redeterminations. During the course of the action plan period, I will continue to utilize the C-IV reports to check progress and follow up with the worker if he/she is not on target according to the agreed upon plan. If needed, I will provide coaching to the worker in an effort to reprioritize workload (if possible) so the overdue redeterminations can be processed. In the event that the worker is still not on progress, disciplinary action will be initiated for failure to meet job expectations.

Our performance reviews include an evaluation for job performance in regards to quantity and quality of work done. In the past, overdue medi-cal renewals were not taken into consideration when evaluating these factors of performance as it was understood that medi-cal redeterminations were not being processed due to the factors listed above. As a condition of meeting the job expectations for each category, workers will be required to remain current on processing their monthly medi-cal redeterminations. If not, an unsatisfactory rating will be given and an additional corrective action plan will be developed to which the worker will be held accountable if not followed.

The contact persons and their telephone number for this corrective action are listed below:

Linda Wright, Director Morgan Talkington, Eligibility Supervisor Trinity County Health and Human Services (530) 623-1265

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### Audit Reference Number

#### Status of Prior Year Audit Findings

#### **Finding 2010-1**

Preparation of the Schedule of Federal Expenditures

#### Recommendation

We recognize the efforts that County employees have made in the preparation of the SEFA. We recommend that they continue to learn about the reporting requirements for the SEFA and share information with the individual departments for more accurate reporting.

#### Status

Implemented.

#### **Finding 2010-2**

Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Health and Human

Services

Pass-Through Entity: State Department of Social Services

Compliance Requirement: Eligibility

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the Department of Health and Human Services do the following:

- 1) Develop a checklist for all required documentation to ensure all required information is in the client files.
- 2) When the maximum IEVS requests are reached at the State, the Department makes a log of which clients need the information and at the beginning of the month, use the log to make sure that all missing IEVS reports are obtained and documented in the individual recipient files.

#### Status

Implemented.

# SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF THE CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY PROGRAMS GRANT EXPENDITURES

Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency and the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Grants Expenditures For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### **California Emergency Management Agency Grants**

The following represents expenditures for California Emergency Management Agency (Cal EMA) grants for the year ended June 30, 2011. The amount reported in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is determined by calculating the federal portion of the current year expenditures.

Program									Sha	re of	Expenditur	es	
Program         Through June 30, 2010         Ended June 30, 2011         As of June 30, 2011         Federal Share         State Share         County Share           VWI0150530 - Victim Witness Program           Personal services          \$ 70,361         \$ 70,361         \$ 34,152         \$ 36,209         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 77,138         7,138          7,138            Totals         \$         \$ 77,499         \$ 77,499         \$ 34,152         \$ 43,347         \$           ZA09010530 - Recovery Act - Edward Byrme Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Coloridation (IAG)           Personal services         \$         \$ 48,163         \$ 48,163         \$ 48,163         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 48,163         \$ 48,163         \$ 48,163         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 15,549         \$ 15,549         \$ 15,549         \$ 15,549         \$ 200,576         \$ 200,576         \$ 200,576         \$ 200,576         \$         \$         \$           Personal services         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$ 64,290 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th colspan="5">Expenditures Claimed</th><th></th><th colspan="5">Current Year</th></td<>			Expenditures Claimed						Current Year				
Program         June 30, 2010         June 30, 2011         June 30, 2011         Share         Share         Share           VW10150530 - Victim Witness Program           Personal services         \$         \$ 70,361         \$ 70,361         \$ 34,152         \$ 36,209         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 7,138         7,138          7,138            ZA09010530 - Recovery Act - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government - Victim Witness Program           Personal services         \$         \$ 48,163         \$ 48,163         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 15,549         \$ 15,549         \$ 15,549             Equipment          \$ 136,864         \$ 136,864         \$         \$           Totals         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$           Personal services         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$         \$           DC10210530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Trinity County Drug ADA Task Force		For the	e Period	Fo	or the Year	Cı	umulative						
Personal services   S   S 70,361   S 70,361   S 34,152   S 36,209   S		Thr	ough		Ended		As of		Federal		State	Co	unty
Personal services	Program	June 3	0, 2010	Jur	ne 30, 2011	Jur	ne 30, 2011		Share		Share	Sl	nare
Personal services	-												
Operating expenses	VW10150530 - Victim W	itness Prog	<u>ram</u>										
Totals	Personal services	\$		\$	70,361	\$	70,361	\$	34,152	\$	36,209	\$	
Name	Operating expenses				7,138		7,138				7,138		
Name		\$		\$	77,499	\$	77,499	\$	34,152	\$	43,347	\$	
Personal services													
Personal services         \$ \$ 48,163 \$ 48,163 \$ 48,163 \$ \$ \$ Operating expenses         15,549 15,549 15,549 I36,864 136,864 136,864 \$ I70	ZA09010530 - Recovery A	Act - Edwar	rd Byrne	Mer	norial Justic	e Ass	sistance Gra	nt (J	AG) Progran	n/Gra	nts to Units	of	
Operating expenses          15,549         15,549         15,549	Local Government - Victi	m Witness 1	Program						-				
Equipment          136,864         136,864         136,864  -	Personal services	\$		\$	48,163	\$	48,163	\$	48,163	\$		\$	
Equipment          136,864         136,864         136,864  -	Operating expenses				15,549		15,549		15,549				
VP09010530 - ARRA - Violence Against Womem Formula Grants - Vertical Prosecution Recovery Act   Personal services   S					136,864		136,864		136,864				
Personal services		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Personal services													
Totals         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$           DC10210530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Trinity County Drug ADA Task Force           Personal services         \$         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$         \$           Operating expenses          79,592         79,592         79,592             Totals         \$         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$         \$           MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program         Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	VP09010530 - ARRA - V	iolence Aga	ainst Wo	mem	Formula G	rants	- Vertical P	rosec	cution Recov	ery A	<u>ct</u>		
Totals         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$ 64,290         \$         \$           DC10210530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Trinity County Drug ADA Task Force           Personal services         \$         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 79,592         79,592         79,592             Totals         \$         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$         \$           MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program           Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 89,594         89,594              Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	Personal services	\$		\$	64,290	\$		\$	64,290	\$		\$	
Personal services         \$         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$         \$           Operating expenses          79,592         79,592         79,592              Totals         \$         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$         \$           MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program         Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	Totals	\$		\$	64,290				64,290			\$	
Personal services         \$         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$ 47,044         \$         \$           Operating expenses          79,592         79,592         79,592              Totals         \$         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$         \$           MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program         Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083													
Operating expenses          79,592         79,592         79,592               \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$ 126,636         \$         \$         \$           MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program         Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          \$ 89,594         89,594         89,594             Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	DC10210530 - Edward By	yrne Memo	rial Justic	ce A	ssistance Gr	ant P	rogram - Tr	inity	County Dru	g AD	A Task For	ce	
MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program           Personal services         \$         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$ 94,543         \$         \$           Operating expenses          89,594         89,594         89,594              Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	Personal services	\$		\$	47,044	\$	47,044	\$	47,044	\$		\$	
MS1001530 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program - Marijuana Suppression Program           Personal services         \$ \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ \$           Operating expenses         89,594 89,594 89,594           Equipment         28,083 28,083 28,083	Operating expenses				79,592		79,592		79,592				
Personal services       \$ \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ \$         Operating expenses       89,594 89,594 89,594         Equipment       28,083 28,083 28,083	Totals	\$		\$	126,636	\$	126,636	\$	126,636	\$		\$	
Personal services       \$ \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ \$         Operating expenses       89,594 89,594 89,594         Equipment       28,083 28,083 28,083													
Personal services       \$ \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ 94,543 \$ \$         Operating expenses       89,594 89,594 89,594         Equipment       28,083 28,083 28,083	MS1001530 - Edward Byr	rne Memori	al Justice	e Ass	sistance Gra	nt Pr	ogram - Ma	rijuai	na Suppressi	on Pr	ogram		
Operating expenses          89,594         89,594         89,594             Equipment          28,083         28,083         28,083	<u> </u>							_				\$	
Equipment 28,083 28,083	Operating expenses	•			,	-	<i>'</i>	-	*	•		•	
		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	

#### Schedule of the California Emergency Management Agency and the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation Grants Expenditures For the Year Ended June 30, 2011

#### California Emergency Management Agency Grants (continued)

				Sha	re of Expenditure	es			
	Ex	penditures Clain	ned		Current Year				
	For the Period	For the Period For the Year Cumulative							
	Through	Ended	As of	Federal	State	County			
Program	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	Share	Share	Share			
ZP09010530 - Recovery Act Local Government - Evidence				t (JAG) Program	n/Grants to Units	<u>of</u>			
Personal services	\$	\$ 16,075		\$ 16,075	\$	\$			
Totals	\$	\$ 16,075	\$	\$ 16,075	\$	\$			