

ITEM NO. 11

MEETING DATE 4/27/17

APPLICATION NO. P-17-17

TRINITY COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT
--

APPLICANT: Tyler Thompson

REPORT BY: John Jelcich

APN: 008-150-47

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Variance from required 350' cannabis cultivation setback from a neighboring residential dwelling.

LOCATION: 70 Our Road, Burnt Ranch (Exhibit A-1 & A-2)

PROJECT INFORMATION:

- A) Planning Area: Burnt Ranch
- B) Existing General Plan Designation: Rural Residential (RR)
- C) Existing Zoning: Ag-Forest, 40 acre minimum (AF-40)
- D) Existing Land Use: residential
- E) Adjacent Land Use Information:

	<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Zoning</u>	<u>General Plan Des.</u>
North:	residential	RR-5	Rural Residential
South:	residential	AF-40	Resource
East:	residential	RR-10	Rural Residential
West:	residential	Unclassified	Rural Residential

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The urgency ordinance for “Commercial Marijuana Cultivation Regulation” includes a provision reading in part: “Cultivation will not be allowed within 350 feet of a residential structure on any adjoining parcels. Applications for a variance from this provision will be considered by the Trinity County Planning Commission.” (Ord. 315-816; Sec. 32.O.IV (5) (b))

The urgency ordinance defines the term “variance” as: “Variance” is defined as Trinity County Ordinance 315 section 31.” During its November 17, 2016 meeting the Commission spent time discussing both the state and county requirements for issuing a variance.

Each zoning classification and land use has an associated set of development standards, which are specified in the Trinity County Zoning Ordinance. Both State law and the zoning ordinance provide criteria to use in evaluating a variance application. Section 65906 of the California Government Code reads as follows:

"Variances from the terms of the zoning ordinance shall be granted only when, because of special circumstances applicable to the property, including size, shape, topography, location or surroundings, the strict application of the zoning ordinance deprives such property of privileges enjoyed by other properties in the vicinity and under identical zoning classification.

Any variance granted shall be subject to such conditions as will assure that the adjustment thereby authorized shall not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which such property is located.

A variance shall not be granted for a parcel of property which authorizes a use or activity which is not otherwise expressly authorized by the zone regulation governing the parcel of property. The provisions of this section shall not apply to conditional use permits."

Section 31.A. of the zoning ordinance further elaborates on the State's Government Code standards by establishing the following criteria:

In considering a variance request, the following guidelines shall be observed:

1. No special privilege. A variance cannot be a special privilege extended to one individual property owner. The circumstances must be such that the same variance would be appropriate for any property owner facing similar circumstances.
2. Use variance prohibited. The consideration of "use variance" is specifically prohibited. These are variances, which request approval to locate a use in a zone from which it is prohibited by Ordinance.
3. Disservice not permitted. A variance must not be injurious to the public welfare, nor to adjacent properties.
4. Not adverse to General or Specific Plan. A variance must be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance and cannot adversely affect the General Plan or Specific Plans of the County.
5. RD-1 Overlay Zone. Prior to approval of a variance for property within the RD-1 overlay zone, permission must be granted or deemed not necessary by the Secretary of Agriculture.

Since the cannabis cultivation site cannot be located elsewhere on the property due to the shape of the property, location of wetlands, proximity to other neighbors affecting relocation of the site, the granting of the variance would not be a special privilege for the applicant that could not also apply to other commercial cannabis growers under identical circumstances.

Annual Renewal:

As discussed during previous Commission meetings, variances from the cannabis cultivation setback (350 feet) are issued for a period of one year. (This should be tied to the license effective dates.) The renewal is predicted to be fairly simple and will be performed by the Planning Director or his/her designee. Some factors that would be included in the review would be any complaints received during the previous year, ensuring that the grower is in good standing with the County and State licensing requirements, and that there are no other changes to the property that could affect the continuation of the variance.

PROJECT EVALUATION:

The applicant plans to cultivate cannabis on his 13.41 acre parcel off of Our Road in the Burnt Ranch area. The e-mail message explaining the proposal (Exhibit B) and site plan provided by the applicant (Exhibit "C") identifies the on-site development, including water tanks, dwelling and the location of the terraced cannabis cultivation site. The neighboring dwelling is in a tree covered area approximately 250 from the cultivation area.

Jeff Dickey, Code Enforcement Officer, has reviewed the application and advises the following: if the site is relocated it would require significant grading and tree removal. The current site has already been developed and terraced (see site plan, Exhibit C).

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION:

The project is exempt from CEQA review under Section 15305(a) [minor alteration of land use limitations].

It is consistent with the site's General Plan designation of Rural Residential and, with the exception of the setback, complies with the County's urgency ordinance (315-816.EXT (A1)); complies with all other zoning requirements for the site; and the cultivation area is not located within the 100 year floodplain, will not result in the removal of riparian vegetation, and is not located in an environmentally sensitive area. The project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment, or contribute to a cumulatively significant adverse impacts. The project will not affect a state scenic highway, cultural or historic resources, federal or state listed species or species proposed for listing, jurisdictional wetlands, or established floodways. The project is not located within a toxic site listed by the California Environmental Protection Agency as provided under Section 65962.5 of the Government Code.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the following:

Approval of the variance to allow reduction of the cannabis cultivation area setback from 350 feet to 250 feet on APN 008-150-47, subject to the following conditions of approval and based on the following findings of fact:

Findings

1. There are special circumstances applicable to the property that, with strict application of the zoning ordinance, deprives it of privileges available to other properties with similar zoning in the vicinity that plan cannabis cultivation.
2. The variance is not a grant of special privilege to the applicant because the applicants property is only 1.9 acres, contains steep slopes and other topographic constraints such that the cultivation area cannot be relocated without doing environmental damage.
3. The granting of the variance is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance urgency provisions for commercial cannabis cultivation.
4. No opposition from surrounding property owners or review agencies was submitted that would adversely affect approval of the variance.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
THOMPSON CANNABIS SETBACK VARIANCE (P-17-17)

1. The variance is approved for a period of one year from **April 1, 2017** through **March 31, 2018**; provided, however, that the variance may be renewed annually.
 - a. Application for renewal shall be made prior to expiration of the variance, preferably at least 30 days in advance;
 - b. shall not require a formal public hearing, unless specified by the Planning Director or referred to the Planning Commission; however, written notice shall be provided by the County to surrounding property owners at least ten (10) days prior to the Planning Director's decision to approve or deny the annual renewal; and
 - c. shall be subject to a filing fee as specified by resolution of the Board of Supervisors.
 - d. The Planning Director, at his/her discretion, may approve, deny or refer the annual renewal request to the Planning Commission. The director shall not add or modify conditions of approval applied by the Planning Commission. If submitted to the Planning Commission by the Planning Director for action, no additional fees will be required.
 - e. Action to renew the variance by the Planning Director may be appealed to the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 34 of the Zoning Ordinance, including the required appeal fee.
2. The variance shall be subject to the securing of all necessary permits, licenses, and approvals for the proposed cannabis cultivation operation from all County and State agencies having jurisdiction over any aspect the operation.
3. Structures on the property shall be in compliance with the California Building Code and the Trinity County Code.
4. The variance shall become effective after all applicable appeal periods have been expired or appeal processes have been exhausted. The applicant has the sole responsibility for renewing this variance before the expiration date listed above. The County will not provide a notice prior to the expiration date.

PROJECT LOCATION MAP
Thompson CC Variance - P-17-17




EXHIBIT A-1

SITE MAP
Thompson CC Variance - P-17-17



EXHIBIT A-2

Tommi Morgenthal

From: Tyler Thompson <tigrethompson@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 11:20 AM
To: Tommi Morgenthal
Subject: Variance conditions for Tyler Thompson (APN 008-150-47)

Explanation for my request for a cannabis setback variance,

As shown on my plot map my property is approximately 13 acres in the shape of a square. Only one neighboring parcel has a house within 350' of my proposed cannabis garden. The house is not visible from the cultivation area and vice versa.

In moving the cultivation area away from said neighbor the direction I would have to move it becomes closer to a water course in a forested area or on slopes not suited for development. It was recommended to me by Timberland Resource Consultants, who has prepared my Water Resource Protection Plan, that I apply for the variance. The cultivation area currently sits on a slope not directed towards the water course but moving it away from the neighboring residence puts it on a slope above the water course and closer to it. To mitigate any potential issues with relocation I request to reduce the setback to its current location.

Chris or Forest with T.R.C. can be reached at 707-725-1897 or trc@timberlandresource.com, for any questions.

Thank You, Tyler Thompson

RECEIVED
APR - 7 2017
TRINITY COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Sent from my iPad

EXHIBIT B

Cultivation
Areas Highlighted

T. et Thompson

3/12/17

APN 008-150-47

13 Acres

