

COUNTY OF TRINITY
Management Report
with Required Communication
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Management Report
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
Required Communication.....	3-5
Current Year Comments and Recommendations	6-7
Status of Prior Year Recommendations.....	8

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Board of Supervisors
County of Trinity
Weaverville, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Trinity (County) for the year ended June 30, 2014. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards* and OMB Circular A-133, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our engagement letter dated May 20, 2014. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit as discussed in the Required Communications section of this report.

In planning and performing our audit of the basic financial statements of the County for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered its internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. In addition, because of inherent limitations in internal control, including the possibility of management override of controls, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected by such controls.

We previously reported on the County's internal control in our Single Audit report dated February 20, 2015, which contains our report on significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the County's internal control. This letter does not affect our report dated February 20, 2015, on the basic financial statements of the County of Trinity.

During our audit we also became aware of a matter that is an opportunity for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes our comments and suggestions regarding those matters.

This report is intended for the use of management, the Board of Supervisors, and officials of the federal and state grantor agencies.

We thank the County's staff for its cooperation during our audit.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Gallina LLP'.

Roseville, California
February 20, 2015

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Required Communication
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Our Responsibilities under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and OMB Circular A-133

As stated in our engagement letter dated May 20, 2014, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement and are fairly presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and to express opinions based on the assurance obtained. Because an audit is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute assurance, and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material misstatements may exist and not be detected by us. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. We also considered internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit. Also, in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, we examined, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the County's compliance with those requirements. While our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion, it does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

Planned Scope of Audit

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our engagement letter dated May 20, 2014.

Significant Accounting Policies

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the County are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2014. We noted no transactions entered into by the County during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Significant Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate(s) affecting the financial statements are discussed below:

- Management believes receivables are fully collectible based on historical experience. Accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is included in the financial statements.

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Required Communication
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

- Management's estimate of risk liabilities and liabilities related to other postemployment benefits (OPEB) is based on either actuarially-determined projections prepared by outside specialists using experiential data gathered over the last several years.
- Management's estimate of solid waste landfill closure and post-closure costs is based on an accumulation of the costs of required closure and postclosure activities adjusted for inflation approved by the California Integrated Waste Management Board.
- Management's estimate of the valuation of donated capital assets/infrastructure was based on reports provided by the U.S. Department of Transportation.

We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop these estimates in determining that they were reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to each opinion unit's financial statements taken as a whole.

Immaterial Misstatements Not Corrected by Management

Management passed on the following adjustments:

- Adjustment to total pooled cash to account for the fair value of the County's investments at June 30, 2014.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated February 20, 2015.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Auditors

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Required Communication
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Other Matters

We applied certain limited procedures to the management's discussion and analysis, the schedules of funding progress for both the defined benefit retirement plan and the other post employment benefits plan (OPEB), and budgetary schedules which is required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were engaged to report on the combining and individual nonmajor fund statements and schedules, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. With respect to this supplementary information, we made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

We were not engaged to report on the introductory and statistical sections, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. We did not audit or perform other procedures on this other information and we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it

Restriction on Use

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors and management of the County of Monterey and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Management Report – Current Year Comments and Recommendations For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

NEGATIVE CASH BALANCES

Criteria

Good cash management and control activities involve properly managing the flow of cash receipts and expenditures in each fund.

Condition

We noted that various County Funds are carrying negative cash balances in the Treasurer's Investment Pool. Though the County recorded interfund liabilities as required by generally accepted accounting principles to eliminate the cash deficits for reporting purposes and has adopted a formal policy for addressing overdrawn accounts, it still had several grant funds with negative cash balances at the end of the fiscal year.

Cause

Deficit cash may be caused by poor budgeting by departments, late billings for reimbursement-based grants or payment delays from other governments.

Effect of Condition

Overdrawn accounts are an indication that cash management policies of the County are not effective. In some cases, the cash deficits have been caused by departments not properly managing the flow of cash receipts and expenditures. Some programs may not be generating sufficient resources to support continued operations.

Recommendation

Initially, we recommend the County and its departments review all funds with deficit cash balances and determine why the fund has a deficit cash balance and provide a process for the elimination of the deficit, as well as the time frame to doing so.

On an ongoing basis, we recommend the County implement a process that would not allow a fund's share of the pooled cash to be deficit unless certain approvals from management are in place. Consideration should be given to implementing cash planning procedures at the department level where each department is held responsible for resolving existing cash deficits and then implementing steps that will prevent or at least minimize deficit cash balances.

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Management Report – Current Year Comments and Recommendations
For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

NEGATIVE CASH BALANCES (continued)

The following schedule summarizes County funds with negative cash balances, excluding fiduciary funds, tax resources fund (\$1,007,500) and the hospital fund (\$4,676,221) since these funds are not related to a program or grant:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Fund Name</u>	<u>Balance</u>
111	HUMAN SERVICES	\$ 142,061
112	BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES	10,481
134	ANTI-DRUG ABUSE DA	2,662
136	MARIJUANA SUPPRESSION PROGRAM	34,208
146	MARIJUANA SUPP PROGRAM - S.O.	41,608
147	EMERGENCY SERVICES	141,584
159	DISASTER RECOVERY INITIATIVE	421,676
173	NATURAL RESOURCES	263,311
176	WOMEN, INFANTS & CHILDREN	71,693
182	CDBG REHAB ACCOUNT	186,389
184	MISC GRANTS	472,212
187	STATE GRANTS	23,109
550	CDC PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY PREPARATION	1,261

Management Response

The County concurs with this finding.

COUNTY OF TRINITY

Management Report – Status of Prior Year Recommendations For the Year Ended June 30, 2014

NEGATIVE CASH BALANCES

Recommendation

Initially, we recommend the County and its departments review all funds with deficit cash balances and determine why the fund has a deficit cash balance and provide a process for the elimination of the deficit, as well as the time frame to doing so.

On an ongoing basis, we recommend the County implement a process that would not allow a fund's share of the pooled cash to be deficit unless certain approvals from management are in place. Consideration should be given to implementing cash planning procedures at the department level where each department is held responsible for resolving existing cash deficits and then implementing steps that will prevent or at least minimize deficit cash balances.

Status

In Progress