FILED

JUN 2 1 2004

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF TRINITY BY: DONNA REGNANI, DEPUTY CLERK

TRINITY COUNTY GRAND JURY 2003-2004

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES COMMITTEE FINAL REPORT

IN HOME SUPPORT

This report was Approved On May 10, 2004

2003-2004 Trinity County Grand Jury Health and Human Services Committee Final Report

In-Home Support Services

Purpose:

The Trinity County Grand Jury is required to periodically review the scope and function of county departments. The Health and Human Services Committee of the 2004-2004 Grand Jury undertook a review of the activities of In-Home Support Services (IHSS), an entitlement program using federal, state and County funds. IHSS provides both domestic and personal care to aged, blind and otherwise disabled citizens (young and old) in their homes.

Background:

IHSS has grown dramatically over the past few years as a viable alternative to more costly permanent care facilities, offering a client-based social model rather than a purely medical one. County entities (Health and Human Services, Cal-Works and IHSS Public Authority) share responsibility for oversight of the program. Cal-Works acts as a contract agent, dispensing County funding and providing training for caregivers and supervisors. The IHSS Advisory Committee's members include caregivers, Health and Human Services representatives, a County Supervisor, a Cal-Works program supervisor, union representative, training specialist and clients. The Trinity County Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) personnel evaluates client need and qualifications. The client has control and can accept or reject the selection of a caregiver. HHS periodically reviews and adjusts caregivers hours based upon client need.

Method of Investigation:

Interviews were held with the Trinity County Director of Health and Human Services Department, an Adult Services Supervisor and the Department Public Guardian, wherein we were apprised of the integral role of the whole department in acquiring and providing input into a successful program. We interviewed the Cal-Works Employment Program Supervisor and attended an IHSS Advisory Committee meeting and a Caregiver Training Orientation. Our inquiries were welcomed by all and every effort was taken to provide us a grasp of the realities of IHSS. The budgetary documentation, mission statement, and organizational charts provided a wealth of information.

Finding 1:

In an environ of shrinking budgets, limited funding, unfunded mandates and increased scrutiny by state and federal agencies, IHSS offers both shelter to our needy and a bargain to badly battered County health system providers. The relatively small sum going to caregivers proves doubly beneficial as the economic "ripple effect" energizes households and communities within the County.

Recommendation 1:

Develop and maintain clear channels for feedback from IHSS clients and caregivers to assure quality care. Provide incentives to caregivers for increasing their proficiency of client care.

Finding 2:

The rural nature of the County and subsequent isolation raises the potential for abuse and fraud within client-caregiver relationships (cabin-fever).

Recommendation 2:

The County should endeavor to determine what monitoring demands this places upon HHS and assist them in obtaining resources to meet this need. This will reduce the need for increasing State oversight of County functions (and fixing what ain't broke). The County should support a volunteer program of "visiting friends" to provide additional social support and observation in the homes of clients.

Conclusion:

Through the remarkably professional efforts of a dedicated Health and Human Services organization, IHSS has provided our community maximum care (more bang for the buck). We will become increasingly reliant upon this model as more costly, less viable health systems evaporate and self-destruct. Increasing state oversight and subsequent demands upon County resources are a primary threat to the success of the program.

Unless our seated Supervisors are asleep at the switch, they will immediately address this matter by asking the Director of the Trinity County Health and Human Services Department how their body might best serve the organization, thus ensuring the health of a dynamic system.

IHSS must respect the privacy of clients and caregivers, but we attest to the fact that we have some remarkable successes within our County.

Our thanks go to:

Linda Wright, Director, Trinity County Health and Human Services Department, James Curran (retired), Adult Services Supervisor/Deputy Veterans Service Officer/Public Guardian, and Donna Pate, CalWorks Employment Program Supervisor, Trinity County Department of Health and Human Services for their professionalism, dedication and assistance in this matter.

Responses Required:

All of the recommendations listed above are directed to the Board of Supervisors or their designated staff. Under Penal Code 933, the following entities are required to respond to the listed findings within the time period indicated:

Entity	Finding	Recommendation	Respond In:
Director of Health and Human Services	1,2,	1,2	60 days
County Administrative Officer	1,2	. 1,2	60 days
Trinity County Board of Supervisors	1,2	1,2	90 days



TRINITY COUNTY

BRIAN E. MUIR, COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER DAVID NELSON, CHIEF DEPUTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER P.O. BOX 1230, WEAVERVILLE, CALIFORNIA 96093 PHONE (530) 623-1317 FAX (530) 623-1323

TO:

The Honorable Anthony Edwards,

Presiding Judge of the Superior Court

FROM:

Brian Muir, Auditor /Controller

CC:

Kelly Frost, Deputy Clerk to the Board of Supervisors

SUBJECT:

Response to Recommendations of 2003-04

Grand Jury Health and Human Services Committee

Report re: In Home Report

DATE:

August 13, 2004

The Grand Jury Health and Human Services Committee has requested a written response to their final report on the In Home Supportive Services program. In my capacity as Auditor/Controller performing the duties of County Administrative Officer my response is as follows:

Finding #1: In an environ of shrinking budgets, limited funding, unfunded mandates and increased scrutiny by state and federal agencies, IHSS offers both shelter to our needy and a bargain to badly battered County health system providers. The relatively small sum going to caregivers proves doubly beneficial as the economic "ripple effect" energizes households and communities within the County.

Response: I agree. Funds paid for in home services are a good investment.

Recommendation #1: The recommendation will be implemented in part. The Department does have systems to obtain feedback from IHSS clients. Incentives to caregivers will be provided only if funding becomes available to do so.

Finding #2: The rural nature of the County and subsequent isolation raises the potential for abuse and fraud within client-caregiver relationships (cabin-fever).

Response: I disagree. I know of no evidence that abuse and fraud in client-caregiver relationships increase in rural areas.

Recommendation #2: The recommendation will not be implemented. The rules for monitoring client-caregiver relationships are set by the State and the Department complies with those rules. A visiting friends program would be beneficial, but the County has no funding to support such a program.

BM:sp



TRINITY COUNTY

Board of Supervisors
P.O. BOX 1613, WEAVERVILLE, CALIFORNIA 96093
PHONE (530) 623-1217 FAX (530) 623-8365

SEP 2 4 2004
TRINITY COUNTY

TO:

The Honorable Anthony Edwards

Presiding Judge of the Superior Court

FROM:

Trinity County Board of Supervisors

SUBJECT: Response to 2003-2004 Trinity County Grand Jury Health and Human

Services Committee Report on In-Home Support Services

DATE:

September 14, 2004

The Board of Supervisors submits these responses to the 2003-2004 Grand Jury report on In-Home Support Services by their Health & Human Services Committee.

FINDING #1: The Board of Supervisors agrees with Finding #1 and understands the importance of caregivers.

RECOMMENDATION #1: It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to insure clear channels of communication between the County and IHSS clients and caregivers. This can be achieved through the IHSS Advisory Committee as well as through negotiations with caregivers through their union. It is also the intention of the Board to provide incentives to better trained caregivers and this will be accomplished as scarce funding allows.

FINDING #2: The Board does not agree with this finding. It would seem the isolation and dependence of IHSS clients could foster abuse by caregivers no matter where the clients live be it a rural or urban setting.

RECOMMENDATION #2: This recommendation is being implemented in part. State and Federal laws require monitoring of client services and the Health and Human Services Department is meeting legal requirements. Additional services to clients such as "visiting friends" can be beneficial however funding is not presently available for these services. The County does, however, take an active role in supporting the Trinity County Commission on Aging which is addressing this and other issues facing seniors.

RM: