

2011-2012 GRAND JURY

COR-2011-2012-001

REPORT

Response Problems

BOS RESPONSE



TRINITY COUNTY

Board of Supervisors
 P.O. BOX 1613, WEAVERVILLE, CALIFORNIA 96093
 PHONE (530) 623-1217 FAX (530) 623-8365

RECEIVED

JUN 18 2012

TRINITY COUNTY
 SUPERIOR COURT

TO: The Honorable James P. Woodward,
 Presiding Judge of the Superior Court

FROM: Trinity County Board of Supervisors

SUBJECT: Response to Recommendations of 2011-12
 Grand Jury Continuity Committee COR2011/2012-001
 Final Report

DATE: 5/15/12

Anton R. Jaegel

The Grand Jury Continuity Committee has requested a written response to their final report on the COR2011/2012-001. The response of the Board is as follows:

Finding #1:

As of the writing of this report, two (2) responses were received 90 days past their due date, two (2) were received 280 days past their due date and five (5) responses have not been received at all (45%), which is non-compliant with the Penal Code Section previously cited.

Response: Disagree because can not confirm what specific reports are being cited. There is insufficient information within COR 2011/2012-001 to identify the specific report(s) so that we can see when it was received and identify the reason why the report was not properly responded too. Information needed includes: Who forwarded the report; to whom the report was forwarded; how it was forwarded; the date the report was forwarded; and the title of the report.

Recommendation #1:

Identify and correct the reasons the reports are not being responded to in a timely manner by Penal Code mandated time frame.

Response:

JUDY PFLUEGER
 DISTRICT 1

JUDY MORRIS
 DISTRICT 2

ROGER JAEGLER
 DISTRICT 3

DEBRA CHAPMAN
 DISTRICT 4

WENDY OTTO
 DISTRICT 5

A process for tracking Grand Jury reports **will be implemented** after receiving the more detailed information so that the the flaws in the process can be identified and a workable system can be created.

Finding 2:

Copies of report responses are not being provided to the Grand Jury as required in the County's Policy Statement 3-90(P) per Item Three (3).

Response:

Agree.

Recommendation 2:

Provide copies of the response to the Grand Jury as set forth in the identified Item Three (3) of the County Policy Statement.

Response:

This will be included in the setting up of a process for tracking, such as a check off sheet.

Finding 3:

An interconnected tracking system that meets the needs of the primary entities is non-existent. This adds to the County's inability to comply with the law as stated in the Penal Code Section 933(c).

Response:

Agree

Recommendation 3:

The Court Services, The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, and the CAO, working in conjunction, should create a interconnected tracking system that will facilitate the time frame. Requirements of the law.

Response:

Agree, with the exception that it be only a "member of the Board of Supervisors" rather than the Chair; and after clarification of the process and who is responsible for initiating the data, etc.

cc: Trinity County Grand Jury